

# STATE OF NEVADA MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA NEVADA COMMISSION ON HOMELAND SECURITY FINANCE COMMITTEE

Name of Organization:	Nevada Commission on Homeland Security Finance Committee
Date and Time of Meeting:	Monday, September 16, 2019 - 10:30 a.m.
<b>Carson City Location:</b> Legislative Counsel Bureau Legislative Building - Room 2135 401 S. Carson Street Carson City, Nevada 89701	Las Vegas Location: Legislative Counsel Bureau Grant Sawyer Building - Room 4401 555 E. Washington Avenue Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

This meeting will be video and/or teleconferenced between the locations specified above beginning at 10:30 a.m. The Finance Committee (Committee) may take action on items marked "For Possible Action." Items may be taken out of the order presented on the agenda at the discretion of the Chair. Items may be combined for consideration by the Committee at the discretion of the Chair. Items may be pulled or removed from the agenda at any time.

**Please Note:** Witnesses wishing to have their complete testimony and/or handouts included in the permanent record of this meeting should provide a written or electronic copy to the Committee administrative support staff. Minutes of the meeting are produced in a summary format and are not verbatim.

- 1. Call to Order and Roll Call (Discussion Only) Chair, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department.
- 2. Public Comment (Discussion Only) This public comment period is limited to items on this agenda. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item. Public comments may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.
- **3.** Approval of Minutes (Discussion/For Possible Action) Chair, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo. The Commission will discuss whether or not to approve the minutes of the July 10, 2019, Finance Committee meeting.
- 4. Discussion on the Development of Strategic Capacities to be Maintained for the Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) (Discussion/For Possible Action) Chair, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo. The Committee will discuss the development of strategic capacities to be maintained in the upcoming FFY20 HSGP process. The Committee may put forth recommendations for consideration by the State Administrative Agent, Urban Area Administrator, and the Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee in the vetting and rank-prioritization of FFY20 HSGP project submissions.

- 5. Public Comment (Discussion Only) This public comment period is for any matter that is within the jurisdiction of the public body. No action may be taken upon a matter raised under this public comment period unless the matter itself has been specifically included on an agenda as an action item. Public comments may be limited to three minutes per person at the discretion of the Chair. Comments will not be restricted based on viewpoint.
- 6. Adjourn (Discussion/For Possible Action)

This is a public meeting. In conformance with the Nevada Open Meeting Law, this agenda was posted or caused to be posted on or before 9:00 a.m. on September 11, 2019, at the following locations:

Legislative Counsel Bureau, 555 E. Washington Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada; Legislative Counsel Bureau, 401 S. Carson Street, Carson City, Nevada; Nevada State Emergency Operations Center, 2478 Fairview Drive, Carson City, Nevada; Clark County Fire Department, 575 E. Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, Nevada; Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Parkway, Las Vegas, Nevada; Nevada Department of Public Safety's Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security website at <u>http://dem.nv.gov/DEM/DEM\_Public\_Meeting\_Information/;</u> and Nevada Public Notice Website at https://notice.nv.gov/.

We are pleased to make reasonable accommodations for members of the public who have a disability or access requirements. If special arrangements for the meeting are necessary, or if you need to obtain meeting materials, please notify Karen Hall, Commission Analyst, Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security, 2478 Fairview Drive, Carson City, Nevada 89701 or (775) 687-0300. 24-hour advance notice is requested. Thank you.

		commission On Homelan Committee Meeting	d Security
	Date	July 10, 2019	
	Time	9:00 a.m.	
		Legislative Counsel Bureau	
	Northern	Legislative Building – Room 21	35
	Location	401 S. Carson Street	
		Carson City, Nevada 89701	
Attendance		Legislative Counsel Bureau	
	Southern	Grant Sawyer Building – Room	4412E
	Location	555 E. Washington Avenue	
		Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
	Method	Video-Teleconference	
	Recorder	Karen Hall	
Committee Members	Present	Legislative & Ex-Officio Members, Staff, And Others	Present
Joseph Lombardo - Chair	X	Caleb Cage	Х
Greg Herrera – Vice Chair	Х	Samantha Ladich	Х
Michael Brown	X		
Stacey Giomi	Х	Karen Hall - DEM	Х
Greg Herrera – Vice Chair	Х	Meagan Werth-Ranson - DEM	Х
Christopher Lake	X	Kendall Herzer – DEM	Х
Carolyn Levering	Abs		
Erin Lynch	X		
Stan Smith	Abs		
Bill Welch	Abs		

## 1. Call to Order and Roll Call

Chair, Sheriff Joseph Lombardo, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, called the meeting to order. Karen Hall, Division of Emergency Management and Homeland Security (DEM/HS) performed roll call. Quorum was established for the meeting.

## 2. Public Comment

Chair Lombardo opened discussion for public comment. No comment was presented in either the Carson City or Las Vegas venue.

## 3. Approval of Minutes

Chair Lombardo called for a motion to approve the draft minutes as presented from the May 28, 2019, Finance Committee (Committee) meeting. A motion to approve the minutes as presented with no changes was provided by Chief Michael Brown, R&R Partners, Inc., and a second was provided by Dr. Christopher Lake, Nevada Hospital Association. All were in favor with no opposition. Motion passed unanimously.

### 4. Discussion on the Roles and Responsibilities of the Finance Committee

Chief Cage, DEM/HS, spoke to inquiries he had received from Committee members to increase the role of the Committee within the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP) process. Chief Cage referenced Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 239C establishing the Committee under the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS), and the codification of the Nevada Resilience Advisory Committee (NRAC) in 2019 as the primary vetting source for the HSGP in addition to elaborating on the current HSGP process.

Chair Lombardo inquired if Chief Cage had any specific input into potential changes in the Committee's role. Chief Cage indicated that Deputy Chief Steinbeck could also weigh in on this issue. One of the biggest concerns presented is that the Committee's role may be perceived as a rubber stamp for what the NRAC recommends rather than having any significant role in that outcome. Discussion ensued noting that the Committee may have agreed with the recommendations in the past, and tough decisions have been made that were instrumental in decisions regarding the HSGP funding allocations. Currently the NRAC vets HSGP projects using the recommendation from the Co-Chairs on strategic capacities to be maintained. A possible change to that process could be to have the NRAC perform the deep vetting, have the NRAC vote to approve which projects move forward, and then place the responsibility on the Committee to rank prioritize the projects and form a final recommendation that is moved to the NCHS.

Chief Stacey Giomi, Nevada Health Centers, spoke to disconnection with the Committee and the HSGP process as a result of the entire membership not being appointed to the HSGP vetting body. When the Committee membership was part of the vetting process, they obtained critical project background information which enhanced the ability to effectively review recommendations presented. Currently, there are Committee members that have no input in the vetting portion of the HSGP process. The Committee has historically requested that a balanced budget is provided coming out of the vetting process, and if one is presented, the Committee will make the tough decisions necessary as evidenced in past decisions to choose quality projects over quantity of projects. If the Committee continues to have decision making capabilities, it needs to be more involved in the vetting and investment justification process.

Deputy Chief John Steinbeck, Clark County Fire Department, spoke to the fact that by no means does anyone want the Committee to be a rubber stamp. The Committee's work is considered an important check on the HSGP process. There is a tremendous amount of time spent in the current NRAC vetting process, and there is openness to more involvement by the Committee and specifically to identify what the NRAC may have missed during the process including if the project fits the strategic plan of the state, or if too much funding is being spent in one area year after year. Chair Lombardo asked for clarification on the synonymous nature between the former HSWG and the newly codified NRAC. Deputy Chief Steinbeck indicated that the NRAC meets monthly now, and the HSGP process is battled out there. There are benefits of the new structure and some challenges as well.

Dr. Lake suggested that the Committee has a say in where the funding goes prior to the

NRAC vetting process. Prior to the start of the HSGP process, the Committee could develop guidelines for funding mandatory and necessary projects, and take the funding applied to those projects out of consideration. The remaining funding allocation could become the competitive portion of the process which the NRAC could then vet and report to the Committee with supplementary information including past grant performance, grants balances, etc. Erin Lynch, Nevada Division of Health Care Financing & Policy, supports Dr. Lake's idea about pre-approval of core functions, and added that she would like to see the identification of specialty areas or gaps which could be improved upon. During the meeting, discussion in the south venue momentarily could not be heard, and Samantha Ladich, Nevada Office of the Attorney General, reminded the Committee that all communications during the meeting need to be on record.

Deputy Chief Steinbeck spoke to the strategic capacities to be maintained which were approved in 2019, and that the Committee has a role at taking a look at those capacities and how they are funded through submitted projects. It is critical to start asking the applicants more questions and performing a deeper review of the budgets submitted to determine what funding is vital. What is vital should receive priority funding. The NRAC serves as a broader base of questioning. As long as the NRAC and the Committee get a chance to weigh in on strategic capacities, that may be the best result moving forward. What no one wants is to just fund projects based on a vote which changes annually. Chief Giomi agreed with Deputy Chief Steinbeck, and to some extent, with Dr. Lake and Ms. Lynch's earlier comments. There is value in not just allocating the same funding to projects year after year, and strategically reviewing use of funding allocated. It is also important to involve the project submitters in the planning process.

Dr. Lake presented concern over the numbers of projects that are voted on by individuals that may not necessarily have the expertise to evaluate the projects effectively. Using a team strategy for like-based projects may be a better idea. Erin Lynch spoke to the focus of the HSGP process, and where the needle may need to be moved. It's imperative to know if the intention is to maintain or enhance capability. Chief Giomi indicated that how a project is funded, and what is accomplished through that funding process, is where the Committee is currently left out of the discussion. What the Committee is missing is the "how" of where a project is ranked and what it sees is far removed from what the actuality may be. The value of this process is that each member of the NRAC and Committee brings government and public safety expertise. Seeing a final product is not the whole story. HSGP projects do not always receive the same amount of funding, and there is not always the same deliverables requested.

Chair Lombardo spoke to the primary function of the HSGP process, and supports the Committee's involvement in the strategic capacities discussion. Chair Lombardo agreed with Chief Giomi, and noted that project justifications are still necessary. The homeland security environment changes over time, and defining where the Committee engages in that process moving forward is important. Chief Cage spoke to compliance with this agenda item should the Committee vote on how its role may change. From his perspective, Chief Giomi's emphasis on the separation of the two processes currently is spot on. Chief Cage also indicated that he agrees that if percentages are identified up front, control over the process is lost. The identification of strategic capacity and defending that endeavor is more important. There appears to be

repeated interest for the Committee to have a significant role prior to the NRAC meeting in addition to including the Committee membership either formally or informally in the NRAC process. Steps need to be taken to develop such innovations to the process.

Chief Giomi moved to direct the State Administrative Agent (SAA) and the Urban Area Administrator (UAA) to work on a strategy for improving the participation of the Committee in the HSGP process by 1) determining the role for the Committee in establishing strategic capacities, and 2) developing a process for Committee members to participate in vetting HSGP projects and investment justifications. The SAA and UAA have the right to add additional items as they see fit to bring back to the Committee for review. Chief Brown seconded the motion. All were in favor with no opposition. Motion passed unanimously. Chief Cage indicated this motion is clear and actionable, with Samantha Ladich supporting the soundness of the motion.

### 5. Public Comment

Chair Lombardo opened discussion for public comment. The Committee members individually thanked Chief Cage for his service, leadership, and dedication to collaborative efforts throughout the state to address emergency management issues. Additional gratitude was provided the committee members to Chief Giomi for his service and leadership on the Committee and to the state.

### 6. Adjourn

Chair Lombardo called for a motion to adjourn the meeting. A motion was presented by Chief Deputy Herrera, and a second was provided by Chair Lombardo. All were in favor with no opposition. Meeting adjourned.

### Strategic Capacities to be Maintained Presented to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security March 26, 2019

**Background:** During 2018, the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security (NCHS) voted to approve changes to the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP). Previously, the NCHS members would vote to establish the five priority Core Capabilities from the Department of Homeland Security's list of 32. These five priority Core Capabilities would drive the grant process for both grant streams under HSGP, the State Homeland Security Grant Program (SHSP) and the Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI).

**Current Process:** Following the 2018 vote of the NCHS, the current process requires the State Administrative Agent and the Urban Area Administrator to develop a list of strategic capacities to be maintained in order to recommend priorities for funding in the upcoming cycle. These strategic capacities were developed with input from the Resilience Commission and are provided here to the NCHS for possible amendment and approval.

**Strategic Capacities Defined:** A strategic capacity is a defined as the outcome of a program or system developed by a Nevada jurisdiction that would have a significant negative effect on Nevada's safety and stability if lost.

**Strategic Capacities to be Maintained:** The following strategic capacities are recommended to be maintained to the NCHS. They are not provided in order of priority.

## **Strategic Capacity: Fusion Centers**

Program(s):

- Southern Nevada Counterterrorism Center
- Nevada Threat Analysis Center

Core Capabilities:

- Intelligence and Information Sharing
- Planning
- Interdiction and Disruption
- Screening, Search, and Detection

## Strategic Capacity: Citizens Corps

Program(s):

- City of Las Vegas
- Douglas County
- Carson City
- Washoe County
- Elko County

#### Strategic Capacities to be Maintained Presented to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security March 26, 2019

• Statewide Tribal

Core Capabilities:

- Public Information and Warning
- Mass Care
- Search and Rescue
- Operational Communication
- Health and Social Services
- Housing

## Strategic Capacity: National Incident Management System

Program(s):

- State of Nevada DEM
- Tribal NIMS

Core Capabilities:

- Operational Coordination
- Situational Assessment

## Strategic Capacity: Chemical, Biological, Radiological, Nuclear, and Explosive

Program(s):

- Tahoe-Douglas Bomb Squad
- Elko Bomb Squad
- Consolidated Bomb Squad (Washoe, Reno, and Sparks)
- Las Vegas Bomb Squad
- Las Vegas ARMOR

Core Capabilities:

- Forensics and Attribution
- Interdiction and Disruption
- Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services

## Strategic Capacity: Operational Communication

Program(s):

• Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC)

Core Capabilities:

• Operational Communication

## Strategic Capacity: Public Information and Warning

Program(s):

### Strategic Capacities to be Maintained

Presented to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security March 26, 2019

• Emergency Alert System

Core Capabilities:

- Planning
- Operational Communication

### Strategic Capacity: Recovery

Program(s):

• Nevada Disaster Recovery Framework

Core Capabilities:

- Community Resilience
- Long-Term Vulnerability Reduction
- Public Information and Warning
- Operational Coordination
- Infrastructure Systems
- Critical Transportation
- Environmental Response/Health and Safety
- Fatality Management
- Fire Management and Suppression
- Logistics and Supply Chain Management
- Mass Care Services
- Mass Search and Rescue Operations
- On-Scene Security, Protection, and Law Enforcement
- Operational Communication
- Public Health, Healthcare, and Emergency Medical Services
- Situational Assessment
- Planning

### Strategic Capacity: Cyber Security

Program(s):

- Incident Response Plan
- Education and Awareness
- Threat Identification

Core Capabilities:

- Intelligence and Information Sharing
- Forensics and Attribution
- Planning
- Access Control and Identity Verification
- Physical Protective Measures
- Supply Chain Integrity and Security
- Risk and Disaster Resilience Assessment

### Strategic Capacities to be Maintained

Presented to the Nevada Commission on Homeland Security March 26, 2019

- Infrastructure Systems
- Operational Communications

## **Strategic Capacity: Planning**

Program(s):

- Continuity of Operations
- Mass Fatality
- Community Resilience

Core Capabilities:

• Planning

	FFY16 SHSP	Upd	lated as of 8/	20/1	19			
SUBGRANTEE (COUNTY)	GRANT AWARD		CLAIMS		Deobligated/ Reobligated		Balance	Sper
City of Henderson					Contraction of the			
Cyber Incident Response Planning	\$ 52,000.00	5	48,184.07	\$	(3,815.93)	\$		93%
Carson City		5	16,151.81	s	16,151.81	s		_
City of Las Vegas		Ť		Ť		-		
CERT	\$ 47,700.00	5	43,473.51	S	(4,226.49)	\$	•	91%
Mass Notification System		\$	54,698.00	\$	54,698.00	\$	•	1005
City of North Las Vegas								1
Ballistic Shields	-	\$		5	35,000.00	\$	35,000.00	0%
City of Reno Triad CBRNE Response	\$ 261,626.00	\$	226,277.33	\$	(35,348.67)	5	•	86%
Clark County		-		-				
THIRA		\$	99,000.00	5	99,000.00	_	27 400 00	1005
EOP Annex - Supp Douglas County		-		\$	37,400.00	3	37,400.00	0%
CERT	\$ 18,000.00	\$	16,106.56	\$	(1,893.44)	5		89%
Elko NENevada		Ť	10,100.00	1 The	(1,000.14)	1		
CCP/CERT	\$ 56,800.00	\$	56,392.80	S	(407.20)	\$	(0.00)	99%
Ely Shoshone Tribe		18-	In the second second					
Cybersecurity	\$ 3,000.00	-		\$	(3,000.00)	\$	•	0%
Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada								
NIMS	\$ 49,000.00	\$	45,946.90	\$	(3,053.10)	\$		94%
Las Vegas Fire		-						
Bomb Squad	\$ 139,068.00	\$	139,068.00	-		\$		1005
Dismount Operations-Disruptors - Supp				\$	18,348.00	\$	18,348.00	0%
LVMPD	the second second			(1888) 		-	dissumption of	a+5X
CBRNE	\$ 395,640.00		373,606.21	\$	(22,033.79)			94%
Fusion Tactical Veh TASS - Supp	\$ 645,000.00	\$	519,592.04	5	(125,093.67) 151,900.00		314.29 151,900.00	81%
Tactical Veh Event Planning -								
Supp		\$	3,647.56	s	31,814.00	\$	28,166.44	11%
Nevada Secretary of State Cyber Security Enhancement - Supp				s	19,830.00	5	19,830.00	0%
North Lyon County		-		-	18,030.00	-	10,030.00	0 76
CCP/CERT	\$ 18,000.00	\$	8,562.63	\$	(9,437.37)	\$	1.0	48%
Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe		100	2000 - 10 V	1				1.000
CERT Equip - Supp				\$	27,000.00	\$	27,000.00	0%
Washoe County Emergency Mgmt.					-			
Statewide Recovery Initiative	\$ 150,000.00	s	117,898.73	\$	(32,101.27)	\$		79%
COOP & COG				\$	15,000.00	\$	15,000.00	0%
Storey County				-		÷		
CERT Portable Radios - Supp		1	602767015		12 122 200	10	0.242	39453
		s	4,194.40	\$	4,194,40	\$		100%
Tahoe Douglas Fire Prot Dist				-		-		-
Spec Explosive Breaching Class		s	30,000.00	\$	30,000.00	\$		100%
Washoe County Sheriff								
Cybersecurit;y	\$ 25,375.00	\$	25,080.00		(295.00)	\$		99%
CCP	\$ 67,940.00	\$	67,710.19	\$	(229.81)	\$	(0.00)	100%
Department of Administration								
Cyber Protection	\$ 572,306.00	\$	342,049.39	\$	(230,256.61)	\$		60%
DPS/NDI								
Fusion Center	\$ 598,075.00	s	472,141.33	\$	(44,896.18)	\$	81,037.49	79%
UNR		1	and the second second	1				11.1.1
Nevada Cyber Statewide Capacity /Needs Assessment								
Plan	\$ 100,000.00	\$	305.86	3	(99,694.14)	2	· ·	0%
DPS/DEM		-				4		1,000
CCP	\$ 9,150.00	\$	3,909.21			\$	5,240.79	43%
Planning	\$ 23,900.00	\$	2,865.60		(21,034.40)	-	•	12%
THIRA _ Supp				\$	56,000.00	The lot of	56,000.00	0%
Training	\$ 75,411.00	2	75,411.00			\$		100%

Total	\$	3,547,775.00	\$ 2,983,173.60	\$ (6,845.59)	\$	557,755.81	84%
Statewide Recovery	-			\$ 32,101.27	5	32,101.27	0%
Statewide Interoperable Communication Program	s	38,211.00	\$ 11,878.16		\$	26,332.84	31%
HSWG Process	\$	27,540.00	\$ 27,540.00		\$	•	100%
RM & CR				\$ 20,000.00	\$	20,000.00	0%
Resource Management & Credentialing	\$	138,618.00	\$ 120,152.00	\$ (18,466.00)	\$	4.5	87%
Exercise	\$	35,415.00	\$ 31,330.31		\$	4,084.69	88%

SUBGRANTEE	-	FFY17 SHSP	T				1		-
(COUNTY)	G	RANT AWARD	-	CLAIMS		Deobligated/ Reobligated	-	Balance	% Spen
City of Las Vegas			de la		in .				
CERT	\$	66,135.00	\$	57,746.43			\$	8,388.57	87%
Clark County									
L964 Class	1		\$	3,191.60	\$	3,191.60	\$	•	100%
Douglas County			5				-		
CERT	\$	21,000.00	\$	14,715.17			\$	6,284.83	70%
Elko County	1		\$				-		
CERT	\$	65,157.00	\$	60,963.00			\$	4,194.00	94%
Humboldt County Sheriff's Office			\$						
N. Central Nv. Mobile Command Vehicle	\$	78,576.00	\$	62,144.58	_		\$	16,431.42	79%
Inter-Tribal Council of Nevada			s		1				
NIMS	\$	99,898.00	\$	55,906.33	\$	(43,991.67)	\$		56%
LVMPD	9		12		-				
CBRNE	\$	230,000.00	\$	129,306.83	2		\$	100,693.17	56%
Fusion	\$	636,050.00	\$	589,627.78			\$	46,422.22	93%
Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe			\$						
Pyramid Lake Emerg. Resp. Radio Prog	\$	104,345.00	5	102,714.64			5	1,630.36	98%
Tahoe Douglas Fire Prot. Dist.			\$	100		12311			
N.Nv.Bomb Tech. Taskforce	\$	58,532.00	\$	49,527.75			\$	9,004.25	85%
Tahoe Douglas Radio Program	\$	72,368.00	5	72,032.34			\$	335.66	100%
Washoe County Emergency Mgmt.	1				(				
Statewide Continuity of Operations	\$	115,000.00	\$	110,931.55			\$	4,068.45	96%
Washoe County Sheriff					-				1
Cybersecurity	\$	84,000.00	\$	27,400.84	-		\$	56,599.16	33%
CCP	\$	92,149.00	\$	50,390.23	-	100.000	\$	41,758.77	55%
Consolidated Bomb Squad	\$	18,703.00	\$	18,609.18	\$		\$	(0.00)	99%
RAVEN Department of Administration,	\$	242,210.00	\$	241,995.00	\$	(215.00)	\$	•	100%
EITS	1		\$						
Cyber Security Capabilities	\$	250,000.00	\$	90,000.00	1		\$	160,000.00	36%
DPS/NDI					4				
Fusion Center	\$	610,625.00	s	267,784.25	1		\$	342,840.75	44%
DPS/DEM									
Planning	\$	45,750.00	\$	11,081.19			\$	34,668.81	24%
Training	\$	171,246.50	\$	163,184.99	\$	(3,191.60)	\$	11,253.11	95%
Exercise	\$	94,314.50	\$	16,507.76	\$	(77,806.74)	_		18%
Resource Management & Credentialing	\$	59,000.00	\$	12,959.21	( –		\$	46,040.79	22%
HSWG Process	\$	29,600.00	\$	23,423.26	-		\$	6,176.74	79%
Statewide Interoperable			\$	2,272.62			1.	1.22.000.00000	10000
Communication Program	\$	59,641.00	_				\$	57,368.38	4%
Communications	\$	75,100.00	\$	57,116.11			\$	17,983.89	76%
Public information & Warning	\$	185,000.00	\$	151,008.25			\$	33,991.75	82%
Tribal NIMS	7				\$	43,991.67	\$	43,991.67	0%
Total	\$	3,564,400.00	-	2,442,540.89	1100	(78,115.56)		1,043,743.55	70%

	FFY18 SHSP	Upda	ted as of 8/20	0/19			
SUBGRANTEE (COUNTY)	GRANT AWARD		CLAIMS	Deobligated/ Reobligated		Balance	Spent
City of Las Vegas					1		
CERT	\$51,055.00	\$	2,240.00		\$	48,815.00	4%
Douglas County							1.000

	\$18,249.00	5	11,299.00	-		\$	6,950.00	62%
CERT-Competitive	\$2,000.00					\$	2,000.00	0%
Elko County								
CERT	\$61,024.00	\$	16,730.21			\$	44,293.79	27%
Multi Agency Communications (EHP Rcvd 11/13/18)	\$313,500.00					\$	313,500.00	0%
Humboldt County Sheriff's Office							ALC: NO	
N. Central Nv. Mobile Repeater	\$30,000.00					5	30,000.00	0%
LVMPD								
CBRNE ARMOR-Sustain	\$50,000.00	-	_	-		\$	50,000.00	0%
SNCTC-Sustain	\$670,400.00	\$	98,563.12	-		5	571,836.88	15%
SNCTC-Enhance (EHP Rcvd 10/23/18)	\$72,000.00	s	50,244.00			5	21,756.00	70%
Shoshone Paiute Tribes of Duck Valley				-			al market	
Owyhee Dispatch Multi Discipline Center (EHP Rqrd.)	\$118,500.00					\$	118,500.00	0%
Tahoe Douglas Fire Prot. Dist.			-					
Tahoe Douglas Bomb Squad	\$83,000.00	\$	83,000.00			\$		100%
Washoe County Emergency Mgmt.		1	See. 21					
Statewide Continuity of Ops - Sustain Statewide Continuity of Ops -	\$150,000.00	s	86,860.00			s	63,140.00	58%
Competitive	\$75,000.00	\$	55,000.00			\$	20,000.00	73%
Washoe County Sheriff		1.000						
Cybersecurity - Sustain	\$45,060.00					\$	45,060.00	0%
Cybersecurity - Enhance	\$28,000.00			1		\$	28,000.00	0%
CCP - Sustain	\$80,663.00					\$	80,663.00	0%
CCP - Enhance	\$6,600.00	\$	3,000.00			\$	3,600.00	45%
Consolidated Bomb Squad	\$100,636.00	\$	69,427.20	_		\$	31,208.80	69%
Office of Secretary of State		-		1				
Netflow and Intrusion	\$104,640.00	\$	75,060.00	\$	(4,560.00)	\$	25,020.00	72%
DPS/NDI				0				-
Fusion Center sustain	\$570,668.00	\$	8,465.00			\$	562,203.00	1%
Fusion Center enhance	\$120,000.00	\$	175.00	-		\$	119,825.00	0%
DPS/DEM		_						_
Planning	\$63,358.80	\$	1,421.42	_		\$	61,937.38	2%
Training	\$256,248.20	\$	415.90			\$	255,832.30	0%
Exercise	\$71,393.80	\$	792.36			\$	70,601.44	1%
Resource Management & Credentialing	\$72,000.00	\$	9,183.05			\$	62,816.95	13%
Technology Program	\$38,927.20	\$	19,977.79			\$	18,949.41	51%
Statewide Interoperable Communication Program	\$33,122.00	\$	1,303.30			\$	31,818.70	4%
Tribal NIMS				\$	85,632.00	\$	85,632.00	0%
Public information & Warning	\$203,900.00		7			\$	203,900.00	0%
Resiliencly Strategy	\$49,600.00	\$	5,140.11			\$	44,459.89	10%
Statewide Citizen Corps Council	\$18,101.00	\$	1,390.63			\$	16,710.37	8%
Statewide Recovery	\$137,722.00	-		_		\$	137,722.00	0%
Total	\$3,695,368.00	\$	599,688.09	\$	81,072.00	\$	3,176,751.91	1

	1	FFY16 UASI	Upd	ated as of 8/	20/1	9			
SUBGRANTEE (COUNTY)	G	RANT AWARD		CLAIMS		Deobligated/ Reobligated		Balance	Spent
City of Henderson				The second				- 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	
Cyber Response Planning	\$	84,000.00	\$	82,609.95	\$	(1,390.05)	\$	0.00	98%
Regional Hazmat Resp.	\$	95,000.00	\$	95,000.00			\$		100%
Multi Use EOC	\$	252,663.00	\$	252,663.00			\$		100%
City of Las Vegas	1								-
CERT	\$	189,091.00	\$	187,333.50	\$	(1,757.50)	\$		99%
MMRS	\$	161,250.00	\$	102,282.44	\$	(58,967.56)	\$	•	63%
Mass Notification System			\$	18,232.00	\$	18,232.00	\$		100%
Bomb Squad Exploitation Tools - Supp			\$	-	s	52,889.00	s	52,889.00	0%
Dismounted Operation Helments - Supp			s	¥1.	s	7,926,17	s	7,926.17	0%
City of Henderson							Ť		
Hazmat Class B Suits - Supp			\$	-	S	6,000.00	\$	6,000.00	0%
City of North Las Vegas	1	100 T					800		
P-25 Radio Phase II Upgrade	\$	53,026.00	\$	53,025.99	\$	(0.01)	\$	0.00	100%
Coplink Interface					\$0.00				
Clark County									
Southern NV IMT	\$	35,618.00	\$	35,618.00			\$	-	100%
FAO Alernate Facility	\$	500,000.00	\$	489,783.88			\$	10,216.12	98%
Emergency Communication	\$	45,268.00	\$	45,003.76	\$	(264.24)	\$	(0.00)	99%
Fire Skid - Supp	1		\$	7,499.00	\$	7,499.00	\$	-	100%
Las Vegas Fire	1								
Bomb Squad	\$	283,757.00	\$	283,757.00			\$	•	100%
LVMPD									
Fusion Center	\$	912,227.00	\$	747,862.39	\$	(164,364.61)	\$		82%
CBRNE	\$	202,000.00	\$	158,121.33	\$	(43,878.67)	\$	1	78%
Tactical Veh TASS - Supp		14	_		\$	148,075.47	\$	148,075.47	0%
Total	\$	2,813,900.00	\$	2.558,792.24	s	(30,001.00)	s	225,106.76	92%

SUBGRANTEE	-	FFY17 UASI	T				-	CHARLEN IN	-
(COUNTY)	G	RANT AWARD		CLAIMS		Deobligated/ Reobligated		Balance	% Spent
City of Las Vegas									
CERT	\$	225,000.00	\$	93,708.65			\$	131,291.35	42%
MMRS	s	125,000.00	\$	115,747.02			\$	9,252.98	93%
Las Vegas Bomb Squad	\$	120,670.00	\$	120,670.00			\$	-	100%
CBRNE	\$	285,500.00	\$	284,714.29	\$	(785.71)	\$	0.00	100%
City of Mesquite			T)		0.23				
Mesquite Network Security	\$	18,620.00	\$	18,620.00			\$		100%
City of North Las Vegas			2						
OEM/MCI Vehicle	\$	70,000.00	\$	48,980.00			\$	21,020.00	70%
Enterprise Surveillance System	\$	200,000.00	\$	200,000.00			\$		100%
Clark County	-	1.1		133344					1
Emergency Mgmt. Op. Coord.	\$	60,000.00	\$	35,505.00			\$	24,495.00	59%
EOC Enhancements	s	293,000.00	\$	17,624.41			\$	275,375.59	6%
Emergency Communication Project	\$	32,000.00	\$	31,990.00	\$	(10.00)	\$		100%
Clark County School District	j.			-					1
School Radio Interop. Comm.	\$	200,000.00	\$	199,999.00	\$	(1.00)	\$		100%
Dignity Health St. Rose Dominican				18.63		L. Bin			6.2
Enhanced Communication for Emergency Call Center	\$	60,000.00	\$	53,726.96	\$	(6,273.04)	\$		90%
Las Vegas Water									
So. Nv. SCADA	\$	121,072.00	\$	53,098.00			\$	67,974.00	44%
LVMPD		and the second second	-			- to - to - to -	1		-
SNCTC/Fusion	\$	544,008.00	\$	348,229.32			\$	195,778.68	64%
CBRNE Response & Exploitation	\$	219,500.00	\$	135,173.00			5	84,327.00	
So. Nv. Health District									
Public Health Analytical SNCTC FTE	\$	85,780.00	\$	85,780.00			\$		100%
S. Nv. Health Dist.Infrastructure Security	\$	35,000.00	\$	140	\$	(35,000.00)	\$		0%
Total	\$	2,695,150.00	\$	1,843,565.65	\$	(42,069.75)	\$	809,514.60	69%

GRANT AWARD \$239,382.00 \$230,810.00 \$324,224.00 \$75,800.00 \$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CLAIMS \$44,798.49 - 5,174.48 75,555.00 - -	\$ \$ \$ \$	Deobligated/ Reobligated - - (245.00)	\$ \$ \$ \$	Balance 194,583.51 230,810.00 319,049.52	% Spent 19% 0% 2%
\$230,810.00 \$324,224.00 \$75,800.00 \$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	5,174.48 75,555.00	\$ \$		\$ \$	230,810.00	0% 2%
\$230,810.00 \$324,224.00 \$75,800.00 \$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	5,174.48 75,555.00	\$ \$		\$ \$	230,810.00	0% 2%
\$324,224.00 \$75,800.00 \$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$ \$ \$ \$	75,555.00	\$ \$		\$	230,810.00	2%
\$75,800.00 \$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$ \$ \$	75,555.00	\$	- (245.00)			101.1
\$800,000.00 \$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$	•	-	(245.00)	\$		
\$53,000.00 \$220,656.00	\$		\$		_		100%
\$220,656.00				•	\$	800,000.00	0%
	\$		\$	(53,000.00)	\$		0%
	\$						
			\$		\$	220,656.00	0%
10.04 10.04 00.04 00.04							
\$60,000.00	\$		\$		\$	60,000.00	0%
\$100,805.00	\$	23,795.68	\$		\$	77,009.32	24%
\$300,000.00	\$		\$		\$	300,000.00	0%
\$71,000.00	\$	4,455.00	\$	5 <b>.</b>	\$	66,545.00	6%
\$127,000.00	\$	2 <b>4</b> 8	\$	-	\$	127,000.00	0%
\$25,000.00	\$		\$		\$	25,000.00	0%
\$325,000.00	\$		s		s	325.000.00	0%
			1		1		
\$361,990.00	\$	167,067.04	\$		\$	194,922.96	46%
\$276,750.00		\$36,057.72			\$	240,692.28	13%
\$462,300.00	\$	45,299.96	\$		\$	417,000.04	10%
\$411,500.00	\$	23,240.32	\$		\$	388,259.68	6%
\$75,000.00	\$	<b>`</b>	\$	•	\$	75,000.00	0%
\$96,635.00	\$	2,511.69	\$	12	\$	94,123.31	3%
\$113,148.00	\$	-	\$		\$	113,148.00	0%
\$4,750,000.00	\$	427,955.38	\$	(53,245.00)	\$	4,268,799.62	9%
7 738 150 00	ć	2 280 145 44	ć	(05 214 75)	ć	E 252 600 01	855
	\$100,805.00 \$300,000.00 \$71,000.00 \$127,000.00 \$127,000.00 \$325,000.00 \$325,000.00 \$361,990.00 \$276,750.00 \$462,300.00 \$4411,500.00 \$75,000.00 \$96,635.00 \$113,148.00 \$4,750,000.00	\$100,805.00 \$ \$300,000.00 \$ \$300,000.00 \$ \$127,000.00 \$ \$127,000.00 \$ \$127,000.00 \$ \$25,000.00 \$ \$325,000.00 \$ \$3361,990.00 \$ \$3	\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68           \$300,000.00         \$         -           \$71,000.00         \$         4,455.00           \$71,000.00         \$         -           \$25,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$325,000.00         \$         -           \$361,990.00         \$         167,067.04           \$276,750.00         \$         336,057.72           \$462,300.00         \$         45,299.96           \$411,500.00         \$         23,240.32           \$75,000.00         \$         -           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69           \$113,148.00         \$         -           \$44,750,000.00         \$         427,955.38	\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         4,455.00         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$25,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$361,990.00         \$         167,067.04         \$           \$276,750.00         \$         36,057.72         \$           \$462,300.00         \$         45,299.96         \$           \$411,500.00         \$         23,240.32         \$           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69         \$           \$113,148.00         \$         -         \$           \$4,750,000.00         \$         427,955.38         \$ <td>\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         4,455.00         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$25,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         167,067.04         \$           \$276,750.00         \$         36,057.72         -           \$4462,300.00         \$         45,299.96         \$         -           \$4462,300.00         \$         23,240.32         \$         -           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69         \$         -           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69         \$         -           \$41,750,000.00&lt;</td> <td>\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68         \$         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$25,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         167,067.04         \$         -         \$           \$361,990.00         \$         167,067.04         \$         -         \$           \$276,750.00         \$336,057.72         \$         \$         \$         \$           \$462,300.00         \$         45,299.96         \$         -         \$           \$4475,00.00         \$         23,240.32         \$         \$         \$           \$96,635.00</td> <td>\$100,805.00       \$23,795.68       \$</td>	\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         4,455.00         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$25,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         167,067.04         \$           \$276,750.00         \$         36,057.72         -           \$4462,300.00         \$         45,299.96         \$         -           \$4462,300.00         \$         23,240.32         \$         -           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69         \$         -           \$96,635.00         \$         2,511.69         \$         -           \$41,750,000.00<	\$100,805.00         \$23,795.68         \$         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$         \$           \$300,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$71,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$127,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$25,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         -         \$         -         \$           \$325,000.00         \$         167,067.04         \$         -         \$           \$361,990.00         \$         167,067.04         \$         -         \$           \$276,750.00         \$336,057.72         \$         \$         \$         \$           \$462,300.00         \$         45,299.96         \$         -         \$           \$4475,00.00         \$         23,240.32         \$         \$         \$           \$96,635.00	\$100,805.00       \$23,795.68       \$

IT AWARD
<b>GRANT AWARE</b>
< 0

Ba	-	FY1/ UAS	FFY17 UASI Updated as of 08/20/2019	08/20/2019		
\$ 1,840.970.69 \$ 42.069.75 \$	GRANT	T AWARD	CLAIMS	Deobligated/ Reobligated	Balance	% Spent
	\$ 2,	,695,080.25	\$ 1,840,970.69	\$ 42,069.75	5	67%

			cros los los		
	GRANT AWARD	CLAIMS	Deobligated/ Reobligated	Balance	% Spent
Total	\$4,696,755.00	\$ 390,440.38	\$ 53,245.00 \$	\$ 4,359,559.62	8%
Totals FY16,17,18	\$ 10,175,734.25 \$	\$ 4,790,203.31 \$		125,315.75 \$ 5,510,846.69	47%

		FFY16 SHS	FFY16 SHSP Updated as of 08/20/2019	6102/02/80		
		<b>GRANT AWARD</b>	CLAIMS	Unallocated	Balance	Spent
Total	S	3,540,979.21	\$ 3,001,287.68	\$ 6,795.79	\$ 546,487.32	85%

	GRANT AWARD	CLAIMS	Unallocated	Balance	% Spen
Total	\$3,486,284.44	\$ 2,419,089.35	\$ 78,115.56	\$ 1.145.310.65	68%

	FFY18 SHS	FFY18 SHSP Updated as of 08/20/2019	08/20/2019		
	GRANT AWARD	CLAIMS	Deobligated/ Reobligated	Balance	Spent
Total	\$3,776,440.00	\$ 592,231.74 \$		4,560.00 \$ 3,188,768.26	16%
FFY16,17,18 Totals	\$ 10,803,703.65 \$	\$ 6,012,608.77 \$		89,471.35 \$ 4,880,566.23	55%